Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. William Pearce.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Pearce, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: June 25, 1996.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96–16610 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

Defense Science Board Task Force on Land-Attack Cruise Missile Defense; Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Land-Attack Cruise Missile Defense will meet in closed session on July 22–23, 1996 at Science Applications International Corporation, McLean, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will focus on architectures and implementation strategies for achieving a US military capability against this emerging threat. Organizational issues and issues related to the potential use of common sensors and/or weapons from Cruise Missile Defense and Ballistic Missile Defense should also be addressed.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: June 25, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96–16611 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Disposal of the S1C Prototype Reactor Plant; Notice of Availability and Announcement of a Public Hearing

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Naval Reactors (Naval Reactors) has completed a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Disposal of the S1C Prototype Reactor Plant. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement was prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969; Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508); and DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures (10 CFR Part 1021). Naval Reactors will conduct a public hearing and receive comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, which addresses the potential environmental impacts related to the disposal of the S1C Prototype reactor plant, located in Windsor, Connecticut.

This Notice announces that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available to the public at the Windsor, Connecticut Public Library or by mail upon request. Upon completion of general distribution of the document, Naval Reactors will file the Draft Environmental Impact Statement with the Environmental Protection Agency, which will then publish a notice in the Federal Register to start the formal comment period.

DATES: Naval Reactors invites interested agencies, organizations, and the general public to provide oral or written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. All written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement are due by August 19, 1996. Oral comments will be accepted at the public hearing to be held August 7, 1996 at Windsor Town Hall at the address listed below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Mr. C. G. Overton, Chief, Windsor Field Office, Office of Naval Reactors, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 393, Windsor, CT 06095; telephone (860) 687–5610. Copies of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement also may be requested from Mr. Overton. The public hearing will be held at 7:00 pm on August 7, 1996 at the Windsor Town Hall, 275 Broad Street, Windsor, CT 06095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The S1C Prototype reactor plant is located on the 10.8 acre Windsor Site in Windsor, Connecticut, approximately 5 miles north of Hartford. The S1C Prototype reactor plant first started operation in 1959 and served for more than 30 years as both a facility for testing reactor plant components and equipment and for training Naval personnel. As a result of the end of the Cold War and the downsizing of the Navy, the S1C Prototype reactor plant was shut down in 1993. Since then, the S1C Prototype reactor plant has been defueled, drained, and placed in a stable protective storage condition.

Alternatives Considered

1. Prompt Dismantlement

This alternative would involve the prompt dismantlement of the reactor plant. All structures would be removed from the Windsor Site, and the Windsor Site would be released for unrestricted use. To the extent practicable, the resulting low-level radioactive metals would be recycled at existing commercial facilities that recycle radioactive metals. The remaining low-level radioactive waste would be disposed of at the DOE Savannah River Site in South Carolina. The Savannah River Site currently receives low-level radioactive waste from Naval Reactors sites in the eastern United States. Both the volume and radioactive content of the S1C Prototype reactor plant waste would be within the range of impacts of low-level radioactive waste that is currently received at Savannah River from Naval Reactors sites. Transportation of low-level radioactive waste to the DOE Hanford Site in Washington State also is evaluated.

2. Deferred Dismantlement

This alternative would involve keeping the defueled S1C Prototype reactor plant in protective storage for 30 years before dismantling it. Deferring dismantlement for 30 years would allow nearly all of the cobalt-60 radioactivity to decay away. Nearly all of the gamma radiation within the reactor plant comes from cobalt-60.

3. No Action

This alternative would involve keeping the defueled S1C Prototype reactor plant in protective storage